

CUNY 22 UC Davis, 2009

The Effect of Partial Semantic Feature Match in Forward Prediction and Backward Retrieval Ming Xiang¹, Maria Polinsky¹, Lan Chen², Christina Kelly¹, Suiping Wang² ¹Linguistics Department, Harvard University ²Psychology Department, South China Normal University

Introduction

When processing long distance dependencies, do prediction and retrieval processes lead to different effects of accuracy and interference?

• Retrieval -- interference in long distance retrieval due to the decay of the representations that need to retrieved [1]

 Prediction -- sharpened expectations facilitate parsing anti-locality effect [2,3]

standard N400 effect [4,5]

It is not clear whether prediction simply facilitates parsing of the expected target, or is subject to interference as well (when confronted with overlapping but not fully anticipated semantic features):

• Highly constrained contextual expectation facilitates only the fully expected target, but loosely constrained expectation facilitates both the expected target and semantically related targets [6]

• semantically related targets are facilitated by contextual expectations regardless of how constrained the expectations are [7]

Chinese Classifier...N Dependency

• Mandarin Chinese classifiers must be used with nouns in the presence of numerals and demonstratives. Different nouns could be paired with different classifiers.

| yi ke shu | yi ge ren | yi |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|----|
| one CL _{tree} tree | one CL _{person} person | on |


• The presence of a classifier obligatorily requires a N, but not vice versa <mark>shu</mark> kaihua le na **ke** that **CL**_{tree} **tree** bloom perf. That tree bloomed.

•Processing the canonical CL...N word order involves forward expectation originated at the classifier:

henpiaoliangde **shu** zhongzaile houyuan Zhangsan ba na-liang-<mark>ke</mark> Zhangsan ba that-two-CL_{tree} very beautiful tree planted backyard "Zhangsan planted those two trees in the backyard."


• Processing the N...CL word order involves backward retrieval originated at the classifier: Zhangsan zhong de shu li zuipiaoliangde na-liang-ke shi taoshu Zhangsan plant LINKER tree most beautiful that-two-CL_{tree} be peach-tree. "Among the trees Zhangsan planted, the most beautiful two are peach trees."

• The expectation and the retrieval processes share the exactly same set of features. The current study manipulated the feature match (semantic distance) between the CL and the predicted/ retrieved N:



Norming

In a cloze task, native Mandarin speakers (n=25) gave their preferred nouns to a list of 55 commonly used classifiers. 26 highly constrained classifiers were chosen for the current study (21/25 on average for their cloze probability).



Discussion

CL_{tree}

• The effect of close semantic distance: both prediction and retrieval processes are affected by close semantic distance, even when the set of predictive/retrieval features is highly constrained.

• Difference between prediction and retrieval processes: The effects of grammaticality and interference appeared online immediately when there is an expectation at the critical word; when there is only retrieval without prediction, the same effect was delayed. This suggests prediction and retrieval may play different roles in the dynamics of parsing

• Future work will determine whether prediction process can lead to faster recovery from the interference.

Material (n=18)

quan dou maixialai le. private jet all bought

Jichangshang tingkaode sirenfeiji li you airport-ground parked


机场上停靠的私人飞机里有三架 / 艘 / 栋 黄色的非常引人注目。 • At the spill-over region, the N...CL showed interference from partial semantic feature match (close 700 **'** semantic distance); the CL...N order showed no such effect

• However the two expts. did not use the same set of classifiers, and the intervening material between CL and N was different in the two expts.

| | 1-7 Rating of the sentences (n=24) | 1-5 Rating of the CL…N pair (n=15) | |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| | 6.43 | 4.8 | |
| n-chang-de qiche songgei le LaoWang g car give Mr. Wang | 4.69 | 1.7 | |
| | 4.00 | 1.4 | |
| 了老王。 na-yi-liang | 5.59 | 4.8 | |
| that-one-CL _{car} | | | |
| -de na-yi-jia keyizuo shigeren. that-one-CL_{plane} can sit 10 people na-yi-suo | $1 \cap 1$ | 1.7 | |
| that-one-CL _{school} | 4.02 | 1.4 | |
| it 10 people". | | | |
| | | | |

469-495





References

1] Lewis, R. & Vasishth, S. (2005). An activation-based model of sentence processing as skilled memory retrieval. Cognitive Science, 29 (3):375-419.

[2] Vasishth, S., & Lewis, R. L. (2006). Argument-head distance and processing complexity: Explaining both locality and anti-locality effects. Language 82(4), 767-794 [3] Levy, R. (2008). Expectation-based syntactic comprehension. Cognition 106(3):1126-1177.

[4] Kutas, M. & Hillyard, S.A. 1984. Brain potentials during reading reflect word expectancy and semantic association. Nature 307, [5] DeLong, K., Urbach, T., and Kutas, M. Probabilistic word pre-activation during language comprehension inferred from electrical

brain activity. Nature Neuroscience, 2005, 8(8), pp. 1117-1121. [6] Schwanenflugel, P. J., & LaCount, K. L. (1988). Semantic relatedness and the scope of facilitation for upcoming words in sentences. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory, & Cognition, 14, 344–354.

[7] Federmeier, K. & Kutas, M. (1999). A rose by any other name: long-term memory structure and sentence processing. JML 41,

Acknowledgment We want to thank Brian Dillon and Cherry Yum for their help in data collection.